

FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT AND MANAGEMENT PLAN
FOR THE PROPOSED CORDELL BANK NATIONAL MARINE SANCTUARY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
LIST OF FIGURES.....	vi
LIST OF TABLES.....	vii
Note to Reader.....	viii
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	xi
<u>PART I: INTRODUCTION</u>	1
A. Authority for Designation.....	1
B. Goals of the National Marine Sanctuary Program.....	1
C. Terms of the Designation.....	2
D. Status of the National Marine Sanctuary Program.....	2
E. History of the Proposal.....	4
F. Purpose and Need for Designation.....	6
G. The Plan for Managing the Sanctuary.....	8
<u>PART II: SANCTUARY MANAGEMENT PLAN</u>	11
<u>Section I: A Management Plan for the Proposed Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary.....</u>	11
A. Introduction.....	11
B. Sanctuary Goals and Objectives.....	11
1. Resource Protection.....	12
2. Research.....	12
3. Interpretation.....	13
4. Visitor Use.....	14

PAGE

<u>Section II:</u> The Sanctuary Setting.....	15
A. The Regional Context.....	15
1. Sanctuary Location.....	15
2. Regional Access.....	17
B. Sanctuary Resources.....	17
1. Environmental Conditions.....	18
2. Natural Resources.....	21
3. Historical and Cultural Resources.....	33
C. Human Activities.....	34
1. Fishing.....	34
2. Oil and Gas Activities.....	37
3. Commercial Shipping.....	37
4. Military Activity.....	38
5. Research and Education.....	38
<u>Section III:</u> Action Plan.....	43
A. Overall Management and Development Concept.....	43
B. Resource Protection.....	44
1. General Context for Management.....	44
2. Designation Document and Sanctuary Regulations.....	45
3. Contingency Plans for Major Emergencies.....	47
4. Encouraging Compatible Use of the Sanctuary.....	49
5. Surveillance and Enforcement.....	49
C. Research.....	50
1. General Context for Management.....	50
2. Framework for Research.....	51

	<u>PAGE</u>
3. Selection and Management of Research Projects.....	53
4. Information Exchange.....	55
D. Interpretation.....	55
1. General Context for Management.....	55
2. Interpretive Opportunities.....	56
3. Interpretive Programs.....	57
Section IV: Administration.....	59
A. Administrative Framework.....	59
1. Marine and Estuarine Management Division.....	59
2. National Park Service.....	60
3. U.S. Coast Guard.....	60
B. Resource Protection: Roles and Responsibilities.....	60
1. Marine and Estuarine Management Division.....	60
2. Sanctuary Manager.....	61
3. U.S. Coast Guard.....	61
C. Research: Roles and Responsibilities.....	61
1. Marine and Estuarine Management Division.....	61
2. Sanctuary Manager.....	62
D. Interpretation: Roles and Responsibilities.....	62
1. Marine and Estuarine Management Division.....	62
2. Sanctuary Manager.....	62
3. National Park Service.....	63
E. General Administration: Roles and Responsibilities.....	63
1. Marine and Estuarine Management Division.....	63
2. Sanctuary Manager.....	64

	<u>PAGE</u>
3. National Park Service.....	64
F. Staffing Levels.....	64
G. Headquarters and Visitor Center Facilities.....	64
<u>PART III: ALTERNATIVES INCLUDING THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE</u>	<u>67</u>
<u>Section I: Status Quo Alternative</u>	67
<u>Section II: Designation as a National Marine Sanctuary</u>	69
A. Boundary Alternatives.....	70
1. Boundary Alternative 1.....	70
2. Boundary Alternative 2.....	71
3. Boundary Alternative 3.....	71
B. Management Alternatives.....	74
1. Management Alternative 1.....	74
2. Management Alternative 2.....	74
C. Regulatory Alternatives.....	75
1. Discharges.....	76
2. Removing or Damaging Benthic Resources.....	77
3. Hydrocarbon Exploration and Development Activities.....	77
4. Anchoring.....	78
5. Historical and Cultural Resources.....	78
<u>PART IV: ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES</u>	<u>81</u>
<u>Section I: Environmental Consequences of Alternatives</u>	81
A. Sanctuary Designation--The Preferred Alternative.....	81
1. Resource Protection Regime.....	81
2. Research and Interpretation.....	92
3. Boundary Alternatives.....	92

PAGE

4. Management Alternatives.....	93
B. The Status Quo Alternative.....	93
<u>Section II:</u> Unavoidable Adverse Environmental or Socioeconomic Effects...97	
<u>Section III:</u> Relationship Between the Short-Term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-Term Productivity.....	97
<u>PART V: LIST OF PREPARERS</u>	99
<u>PART VI: LIST OF AGENCIES, ORGANIZATIONS, AND PERSONS RECEIVING COPIES</u> ...101	
<u>PART VII: REFERENCES</u>	104
<u>PART VIII: APPENDICES</u>	108
1. Designation Document and Final Regulations.....	110
2. Existing State and Federal Authorities Relevant to Cordell Bank.126	
3. Abbreviations.....	136

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	National Marine Sanctuary System.....	3
2	Regional Context of Cordell Bank.....	16
3	Potential Oil and Gas Activities in the Vicinity of Cordell Bank.....	39
4	Shipping and Military Activity.....	40
5	Sanctuary Boundary Alternative 1.....	72
6	Sanctuary Boundary Alternatives 2 and 3.....	73

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Tables</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
1	List of selected Algae, Sponges and Invertebrates Identified on Cordell Bank	22
2	Partial List of Fishes Identified in Cordell Bank Waters.....	26
3	Marine Mammals Observed on Cordell Bank.....	28
4	Seabirds Observed on Cordell Bank.....	32
5	Recreational Fishing Activity at Cordell Bank.....	36

Note to Reader:

A. National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA):

This document is both a final environmental impact statement and management plan for the proposed Cordell Bank National Marine Sanctuary. Some of the section headings, and their order, are different from those frequently found in other environmental impact statements. To assist NEPA reviewers, the following table has been developed. Under the heading "NEPA Requirements" are listed those topics normally discussed in an EIS. the corresponding section of this document and the page numbers are provided in the other two columns.

<u>NEPA Requirement</u>	<u>Management Plan</u>	<u>Page</u>
Purpose and Need for Action	Part I: Introduction.....	6
Alternatives		
Preferred Alternative	Part III, Section II.....	70
Preferred Boundary Alternatives	Part III, Section II.....	70
Other Alternatives	Part III, Section II.....	73, 75
Affected Environment	Part III, Section II.....	70
Environmental Consequences		
A. General and Specific Impacts	Part IV, Section I.....	81
B. Unavoidable Adverse Environmental or Socioeconomic Effects	Part IV, Section II.....	97
C. Relationships between Short-term Uses of the Environment and the Maintenance and Enhancement of Long-term Productivity	Part IV, Section III.....	97
D. Possible Conflicts between the Proposed Action and the Objectives of Federal, State Regional and Local Land Use Plans, Policies and Contacts for the Area Concerned	Part II, Section III.....	43

<u>NEPA Requirement</u>	<u>Management Plan</u>	<u>Page</u>
List of Preparers	Part V.....	99
List of Agencies, Organizations, and Persons Receiving Copies of the FEIS/MP	Part VI.....	101

B. Endangered Species Act (ESA):

Pursuant to § 7 of the ESA, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service of the Department of the Interior, and the National Marine Fisheries Service, of the Department of Commerce, were consulted in the performance of the biological assessment of possible impacts on threatened or endangered species that might result from the designation of a National Marine Sanctuary at Cordell Bank. The consultation confirmed that some twelve endangered and two threatened species are known to occur in the area and that Sanctuary designation was not likely to adversely affect these species. The species identified are:

1. California brown pelican....	<u><i>Pelicanus occidentalis calif.</i></u>	Endangered
2. Short-tailed albatross.....	<u><i>Diomedea albatrus</i></u>	Endangered
3. Gray whale.....	<u><i>Eschrichtius robustus</i></u>	Endangered
4. Right whale.....	<u><i>Eubalaena glacialis</i></u>	Endangered
5. Blue whale.....	<u><i>Balaenoptera musculus</i></u>	Endangered
6. Fin whale.....	<u><i>B. physalus</i></u>	Endangered
7. Sei whale.....	<u><i>B. borealis</i></u>	Endangered
8. Humpback whale.....	<u><i>Megaptera novaeangliae</i></u>	Endangered
9. Sperm whale.....	<u><i>Physeter catodon</i></u>	Endangered
10. Guadalupe fur seal.....	<u><i>Arctocephalus townsendi</i></u>	Threatened
11. Green sea turtle.....	<u><i>Chelonia mydas</i></u>	Endangered
12. Leatherback sea turtle.....	<u><i>Dermochelys coriacea</i></u>	Endangered
13. Pacific Ridley sea turtle.....	<u><i>Lepidochelys olivacea</i></u>	Endangered
14. Loggerhead sea turtle.....	<u><i>Caretta</i></u>	Threatened

All correspondence related to these consultations is contained in Volume II of the FEIS/MP.

C. Resource Assessment:

The Marine Protection, Research and Sanctuaries Act, as amended, requires a resource assessment report documenting present and potential uses of the proposed Sanctuary area, including uses subject to the primary jurisdiction of the Department of the Interior. This requirement has been met in consultation with the Department of the Interior and the assessment report is contained in Part II, Section II.

D. Federal Consistency Determination

Section 307 of the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, as amended, requires that each Federal agency conducting or supporting activities directly affecting the coastal zone shall conduct or support those activities in a manner which is, to the maximum extent practicable, consistent with approved state management programs. This requirement has been met through a Federal Consistency Determination made by NOAA to the California Coastal Commission dated, December 31, 1988, that the designation of Cordell Bank as a National Marine Sanctuary is consistent, to the maximum extent practicable with California's Coastal Management Plan.